

**Sample Template For Building and Applying IWM Benefit Definitions
in Update 2013 Finance Plan**

Content is for demonstration purposes only – Stakeholders will develop actual content

Prepared November 3, 2011

Proposed IWM Benefit Types <i>(From Storyboard Component 1)</i>	Resource-Dependant Values <i>(TBD by Stakeholders)</i>	Examples of Specific IWM Benefits <i>(TBD by Stakeholders)</i>	Definitions <i>(To be developed with strong reference to two preceding columns)</i>	Reference/Source <i>(For Previously Defined Benefits)</i>
Environmental Benefits	(SAMPLE) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Natural heritage • Self-sustaining ecosystems • Biodiversity 	(SAMPLE) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recover sensitive species • Control invasive species 	(SAMPLE) Preservation or restoration of natural heritage, self-sustaining ecosystems and/or biodiversity. (e.g. recovery of sensitive species, control of invasive species)	For example – is there a guiding definition in Code or an Agency Plan???
Water Quality	(SAMPLE) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public health • Sustain water-dependant habitat 	(SAMPLE) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Control water-borne disease vectors • Protection of DACs 	(SAMPLE) Enhancement or preservation of public and environmental health as it pertains to managed and unmanaged water supplies	For example – is there a guiding definition in Code or an Agency Plan???
Water Supply and Supply Reliability	(SAMPLE) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diverse portfolio of economic activity for each region • Cost of supplies/shortages • Quality of life 	(SAMPLE) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water supplies (of sufficient quality and certainty) to support new/existing housing, agricultural and commercial activities 	(SAMPLE) Occurrence of water supplies sufficient to enhance or sustain a diverse portfolio of economic activity as well as achieve water costs and quality of life that can compete with other regions/states/countries.	For example – is there a guiding definition in Code or an Agency Plan???

DISCUSSION DRAFT ONLY – NOT APPROVED BY ANY PARTY OR AGENCY – FOR USE ONLY ON NOV. 10, 2011

Instructions for Using Template

Purpose - This template provides a structured approach for evolving the (highly generalized) benefit types shown in the first column into more specific information that can be used for three purposes:

- a. As a screening tool for determining which types of IWM activities are within the scope of the Update 2013 Finance Plan;
- b. As a basis for forming resource management objectives (as articulated in the *Resource-Dependant Values* column) to guide IWM activity selection;
- c. As a basis for developing reporting metrics for future comparative analysis (Component 5 of Storyboard) which can later be derived from the *Examples of Specific IWM Benefits* column. Examples of potential reporting metrics include acres of restored habitat, acre-feet of new water supply, salinity concentration, consequences and probability of flood risks, etc.

Step 1 - Using the blank template provided (one benefit per page), the Finance Caucus will first populate the *Resource-Dependant Values* column with an expression of the *types* of values, but without regard for targeted or desired future condition for said value. The targeted/desired future condition for the values will be discussed in the context of Resource Management Objectives as part of the storyboard Component 2 discussions.

Step 2 – The Finance Caucus will then populate the *Examples of Specific IWM Benefits* column.

Step 3 – Using the values and examples from steps 1 and 2, the Caucus will begin crafting definitions for each benefit type. The definitions will consist primarily of the information from the two preceding columns.

Step 4 – Review *IWM Benefit Types* (column 1) which may change as a result of populating columns 2 and 3. In instances where there are resource-dependant values expressed that do not pertain to the any of the existing benefit types, the Caucus can consider adding a new benefit. Conversely, in instances where an existing benefit type is found to have no associated resource-dependant values, the Caucus can consider dropping said benefit from the list. Redundancies with, and other improper context of, existing benefit types will also likely become evident allowing the Caucus to refine and optimize the list of benefits.

DISCUSSION DRAFT ONLY – NOT APPROVED BY ANY PARTY OR AGENCY – FOR USE ONLY ON NOV. 10, 2011